



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
SHAUNA NICOLAS,  
Defendant.

Case No. CR 23-112-GW  
ORDER OF DETENTION  
[18 U.S.C. § 3148(b), 3143(a)]

I.

On January 24, 2025, Defendant appeared before the Court on the Petition for Violation of Pretrial Release Conditions and Order to Show Cause issued on January 11, 2024. Defendant was represented by Deputy Federal Public Defender (“DFPD”) Shannon Coit, who specially appeared for DFPD Erica Choi, who was previously appointed to represent Defendant. The government was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney Thi Ho. A detention hearing was held.

1       The Court has reviewed the files and records in this matter, including the  
2 Petition for violations of conditions of pretrial release, dated January 11, 2024, the  
3 recommendation by Pretrial Services, that Defendant be detained based on  
4 unverified background information, history of non compliance while on  
5 supervision, extensive criminal history, history of drug use and mental health  
6 concerns.

7  
8       The Court finds, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3148(b), as follows:

- 9  
10      1. Based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g), there is no  
11 longer any condition or combination of conditions of release that will  
12 assure that he defendant will not flee or pose a danger to the  
13 community or to others if allowed to remain on bail pending future  
14 court proceedings.  
15      2. The Court has taken into account the allegations of defendant's  
16 noncompliance with the conditions of pretrial release, as alleged in the  
17 petition, and the fact that Defendant was previously found to be in  
18 violation of conditions of pretrial release and given an opportunity to  
19 participate in residential drug treatment and was unsuccessfully  
20 discharged from the program.  
21      3. The Court has also taken into account the outstanding warrant for  
22 failure to appear on a matter in San Luis Obispo county that was  
23 issued on April 4, 2023.

24       Thus, the Court finds that there is now a change in circumstances which  
25 justifies reconsideration of the decision to allow Defendant to remain on release.  
26 The Court finds that, under the current circumstances, clear and convincing  
27 evidence does not exist to show that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a  
28 danger to the community or to others if allowed to remain on bail.

1  
2 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant is remanded to the custody  
3 of the United States Marshal pending further proceedings in this matter.  
4

5 Dated: January 24, 2025  
6

7 \_\_\_\_\_ /s/  
8 ALKA SAGAR  
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28